

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH JULY 2007 / 3RD SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1017 of 2006(S)

AGAINST ORDER DATED 21.12.2004 IN IA 11287/2004 IN OP.18293/2000
.....

PETITIONER:

THE SECRETARY,
KERALA PLANTATION WORKERS' UNION, (CITU),
NELLIYAMPATHY. (M.R.VASU, S/O. RAMAN, AGED 55).

BY ADV. SRI.ASOK M.CHERIAN

RESPONDENT:

PRINCE P. PATTASSERY, S/O. PUNNOOSE
PATTASSERY, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
(MANAGING DIRECTOR, ALEXANDRIA PLANTATIONS (P)
LTD.), PATTASSERY HOUSE, MUDIKKAL P.O.,
PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV.MR.PAULSON C.VARGHESE

THIS CONTEMPT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 25/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN, J.

Cont.Case (C)No.1017 of 2006

Dated, this the 25th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

H.L.Dattu, C.J.

Alleging that the respondent has wilfully and deliberately disobeyed the orders passed by this Court in C.M.P.No.11287 of 2004 in O.P.No.18293 of 2000 dated 21st December, 2004, the complainant is before us in this contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, requesting us to initiate appropriate proceedings against the respondent and punish him for the wilful disobedience of the orders and directions issued by this Court.

(2) Notice of this petition was ordered to be issued and served on the respondent. The contemnor has entered appearance through his counsel.

(3) The learned counsel appearing for the contemnor would submit that the respondent has substantially complied with the orders and directions issued by this Court by issuing two cheques to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

(4) In view of this development, in our opinion, there is substantial compliance with the orders and directions issued by this Court. Therefore, we need not to have proceeded further in this contempt petition. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings require to be dropped and they are dropped. Liberty is

reserved to the complainant to approach this Court if need arises in future.

Ordered accordingly.

(H.L.DATTU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(K.T.SANKARAN)
JUDGE

vns